

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,569	07/31/2006	Dirk Jan Broer	NL040069	2999	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 03/09/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			CHIEN, LUCY P		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2871		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/09/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/597,569	BROER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LUCY P. CHIEN	2871	

	LUCY P. CHIEN	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	DR ALLOWANCE	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on			donment of this
application, applicant must timely file one of the following r	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w	hich places the
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe			
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ci periods:	FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	within one of the follow	ing time
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires		in the final rejection, which	hover ie later In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of externion and the street of the street under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the street of the str			
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later t			
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	•	,,,,,,	,
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten			appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit	thin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> </ol>			cause
<ul><li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li></ul>	sideration and/or search (see NO	ΓE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li> </ol>	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowon-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. To For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	I be entered and an ex	planation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	hefere or on the date of Elina a Ni	stice of Annual III not	he entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and</li> </ol>			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sullicient reasons why the aniday	it of other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a	Notice of Anneal but prior to the	date of filing a brief w	ill not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,	
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
Applicant's arguments that Harvey et al does not disclose			
anisotropic orientation such as to render the element move			
shape and a second state having a second shape differe			
which discloses that the liquid crystal have a controlled m			
a specified direction, thus, wherein the oriented polymeria			
render the element moveable by non-mechanical means shape, example: parallel to substrate) (when no voltage is			
shape (shape after voltage is applied, example; perpendi			
Therefore the rejection is maintained	Same of the William Toopcot to the S	and a district of the state of	. a.o mou

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/David Nelms/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2871 Lucy P Chien Examiner Art Unit: 2871

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100302